

On matrix variance inequalities *

G. Afendras and N. Papadatos[†]

Department of Mathematics, Section of Statistics and O.R., University of Athens,
Panepistemiopolis, 157 84 Athens, Greece.

Abstract

Olkin and Shepp (2005, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, vol. 130, pp. 351–358) presented a matrix form of Chernoff’s inequality for Normal and Gamma (univariate) distributions. We extend and generalize this result, proving Poincaré-type and Bessel-type inequalities, for matrices of arbitrary order and for a large class of distributions.

MSC: Primary 60E15.

Key words and phrases: Integrated Pearson family; Cumulative Ord family; quadratic; matrix inequality.

1 Introduction

Let Z be a standard Normal random variable (r.v.) and assume that g_1, \dots, g_p are absolutely continuous, real-valued, functions of Z , each with finite variance (with respect to Z). Olkin and Shepp (2005) presented a matrix extension of Chernoff’s variance inequality, which reads as follows:

Olkin and Sepp (2005). If $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{g})$ is the covariance matrix of the random vector $\mathbf{g} = (g_1(Z), \dots, g_p(Z))^t$, where ‘t’ denotes transpose, and if $\mathbb{E}[g'_i(Z)]^2 < \infty$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, then

$$\mathbf{D} \leq \mathbf{H},$$

where $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{g}) = (\mathbb{E}[g'_i(Z)g'_j(Z)])_{p \times p}$, and the inequality is considered in the sense of Loewner ordering, that is, the matrix $\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{D}$ is nonnegative definite.

In this note we extend and generalize this inequality for a large family of discrete and continuous r.v.’s. Specifically, our results apply to any r.v. X according to one of the following definitions (c.f. [1]).

Definition 1. (Integrated Pearson Family). Let X be an r.v. with probability density function (p.d.f.) f and finite mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$. We say that X follows the Integrated Pearson distribution $\text{IP}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$, $X \sim \text{IP}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$, if there exists a quadratic $q(x) = \delta x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$ (with $\beta, \delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\delta| + |\beta| + |\gamma| > 0$) such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^x (\mu - t)f(t)dt = q(x)f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

*Work partially supported by the University of Athens’ Research fund under Grant 70/4/5637.

[†]Corresponding author. e-mail address: npapadat@math.uoa.gr

Definition 2. (Cumulative Ord Family). Let X be an integer-valued r.v. with finite mean μ and assume that $p(k) = \mathbb{P}(X = k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is the probability mass function (p.m.f.) of X . We say that X follows the Cumulative Ord distribution $\text{CO}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$, $X \sim \text{CO}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$, if there exists a quadratic $q(j) = \delta j^2 + \beta j + \gamma$ (with $\beta, \delta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\delta| + |\beta| + |\gamma| > 0$) such that

$$\sum_{k \leq j} (\mu - k)p(k) = q(j)p(j) \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2)$$

It is well known that the commonly used distributions are members of the above families, e.g. Normal, Gamma, Beta, F and t distributions belong to the Integrated Pearson family, while Poisson, Binomial, Pascal (Negative Binomial) and Hypergeometric distribution are members of the Cumulative Ord family. Therefore, the results of the present note also improve and unify the corresponding bounds for Beta r.v.'s, given by Prakasa Rao (2006) and Wei and Zhang (2009).

2 Matrix variance inequalities of Poincaré and Bessel type

2.1 Continuous Case

In this subsection we shall make use of the following notations.

Assume that $X \sim \text{IP}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$ and denote by $q(x) = \delta x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$ its quadratic. It is known that, under (1), the support $J = J(X) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) > 0\}$ is a (finite or infinite) open interval, say $J(X) = (\alpha, \omega)$ — see [1], [2].

For a fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{H}^n(X)$ the class of functions $g : (\alpha, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:

H₁: For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $g^{(k)}$ (with $g^{(0)} = g$) is an absolutely continuous function with derivative $g^{(k+1)}$.

H₂: For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{E}[q^k(X)(g^{(k)}(X))^2] < \infty$.

Also, for a fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{B}^n(X)$ the class of functions $g : (\alpha, \omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:

B₁: $\text{Var}[g(X)] < \infty$.

B₂: For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $g^{(k)}$ (with $g^{(0)} = g$) is an absolutely continuous function with derivative $g^{(k+1)}$.

B₃: For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{E}[q^k(X)|g^{(k)}(X)|] < \infty$.

Clearly, if $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2n} < \infty$ then $\mathcal{H}^n(X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}^n(X)$ (note that H₂ (with $k = 0$) yields B₁), since by the C-S inequality, $\mathbb{E}^2[q^k(X)|g^{(k)}(X)|] \leq \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)(g^{(k)}(X))^2]$.

Consider now any p functions $g_1, \dots, g_p \in \mathcal{H}^n(X)$ and set $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p)^\dagger$. Then, the following $p \times p$ matrices $\mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{H}_k(\mathbf{g})$ are well-defined for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$\mathbf{H}_k = (h_{ij;k}), \quad \text{where } h_{ij;k} := \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)g_i^{(k)}(X)g_j^{(k)}(X)], \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (3)$$

Similarly, for any functions $g_1, \dots, g_p \in \mathcal{B}^n(X)$, the following $p \times p$ matrices $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{B}_k(\mathbf{g})$ are well-defined for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$\mathbf{B}_k = (b_{ij;k}), \quad \text{where } b_{ij;k} := \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)g_i^{(k)}(X)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)g_j^{(k)}(X)], \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (4)$$

Our first result concerns a class of Poincaré-type matrix variance bounds, as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $X \sim \text{IP}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$ and assume that $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2n} < \infty$ for some fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Let g_1, \dots, g_p be arbitrary functions in $\mathcal{H}^n(X)$ and denote by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{g})$ the dispersion matrix of the random vector $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(X) = (g_1(X), \dots, g_p(X))^\dagger$. Also, denote by $\mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{S}_n(\mathbf{g})$ the $p \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k! \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - j\delta)} \cdot \mathbf{H}_k,$$

where the matrices \mathbf{H}_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are defined by (3). Then, the matrix

$$\mathbf{A}_n = (-1)^n (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{S}_n)$$

is nonnegative definite. Moreover, \mathbf{A}_n is positive definite unless there exist constants $c_1, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$, not all zero, such that the function $c_1 g_1(x) + \dots + c_p g_p(x)$ is a polynomial (in x) of degree at most n .

Proof: Fix $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_p)^\dagger \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and define the function $h_{\mathbf{c}}(x) = \mathbf{c}^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{g}(x) = c_1 g_1(x) + \dots + c_p g_p(x)$. Since $g_1, \dots, g_p \in \mathcal{H}^n(X)$ we see that the function $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^n(X)$ and, in particular, $h_{\mathbf{c}}(X)$ has finite variance and $\mathbb{E}[q^k(X)(h_{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}(X))^2] < \infty$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, we can make use of the inequality (see [1], [5]; c.f. [4], [7])

$$(-1)^n [\text{Var} h_{\mathbf{c}}(X) - S_n] \geq 0, \quad \text{where } S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k! \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - j\delta)} \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)(h_{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}(X))^2],$$

in which the equality holds if and only if $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ is a polynomial of degree at most n . It is well-known that $\text{Var} h_{\mathbf{c}}(X) = \mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{D} \mathbf{c}$ and it is easily seen that $\mathbb{E}[q^k(X)(h_{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}(X))^2] = \mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{H}_k \mathbf{c}$ with \mathbf{H}_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) as in (3). Thus, $S_n = \mathbf{c}^\dagger \mathbf{S}_n \mathbf{c}$ and the preceding inequality takes the form

$$\mathbf{c}^\dagger [(-1)^n (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{S}_n)] \mathbf{c} \geq 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is arbitrary it follows that the matrix $(-1)^n (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{S}_n)$ is nonnegative definite. Clearly the inequality is strict for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for which $h_{\mathbf{c}} \notin \text{spam}[1, x, \dots, x^n]$. \square

Remark 1. (a) Olkin and Shepp's (2005) matrix inequalities are particular cases of Theorem 1 for $n = 1$ and with X being a standard Normal or a Gamma r.v. For

example, when $n = 1$ and $X = Z \sim N(0, 1) \equiv \text{IP}(0; 0, 0, 1)$ then $\mathbf{S}_1 = \mathbf{H}_1 = \mathbf{H} = (\mathbb{E}[g'_i(Z)g'_j(Z)])_{p \times p}$ and we get the inequality $\mathbf{D} \leq \mathbf{H}$ (in the Loewner ordering). Moreover, for $X = Z$ and $n = 2$ or 3 , Theorem 1 yields the new matrix variance bounds $\mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_2 \leq \mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{D} \leq \mathbf{H} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_2 + \frac{1}{6}\mathbf{H}_3$ where $\mathbf{H}_2 = (\mathbb{E}[g''_i(Z)g''_j(Z)])_{p \times p}$ and $\mathbf{H}_3 = (\mathbb{E}[g'''_i(Z)g'''_j(Z)])_{p \times p}$.

(b) Theorem 1 applies to Beta r.v.'s. In particular, when $n = 1$ and $X \sim \text{Beta}(a, b)$ (with p.d.f. $f(x) \propto x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}$, $0 < x < 1$, and parameters $a, b > 0$) then $q(x) = x(1-x)/(a+b)$. Theorem 1 yields the inequality $\mathbf{D} \leq \mathbf{H}$ (in the Loewner ordering) where $\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{a+b}(\mathbb{E}[X(1-X)g'_i(X)g'_j(X)])_{p \times p}$. This compares with Theorem 4.1 in Prakasa Rao (2006); c.f. Wei and Zhang (2009), Remark 1.

Next we show some similar Bessel-type matrix variance bounds. The particular case of a Beta r.v. is covered by Theorem 1 of Wei and Zhang (2009).

Theorem 2. Let $X \sim \text{IP}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$ and assume that $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2n} < \infty$ for some fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Let g_1, \dots, g_p be arbitrary functions in $\mathcal{B}^n(X)$ and denote by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{g})$ the dispersion matrix of the random vector $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(X) = (g_1(X), \dots, g_p(X))^{\dagger}$. Also, denote by $\mathbf{L}_n = \mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{g})$ the $p \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{L}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k! \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)] \prod_{j=k-1}^{2k-2} (1-j\delta)} \cdot \mathbf{B}_k,$$

where the matrices \mathbf{B}_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are defined by (4). Then,

$$\mathbf{L}_n \leq \mathbf{D}$$

in the Loewner ordering. Moreover, $\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{L}_n$ is positive definite unless there exist constants $c_1, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$, not all zero, such that the function $c_1 g_1(x) + \dots + c_p g_p(x)$ is a polynomial (in x) of degree at most n .

Proof: Fix $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_p)^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and, as in the previous proof, define the function $h_{\mathbf{c}}(x) = \mathbf{c}^{\dagger} \cdot \mathbf{g}(x) = c_1 g_1(x) + \dots + c_p g_p(x)$. Since $g_1, \dots, g_p \in \mathcal{B}^n(X)$ we see that the function $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}^n(X)$. In particular, $h_{\mathbf{c}}(X)$ has finite variance and $\mathbb{E}[q^k(X)|h_{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}(X)|] < \infty$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Thus, we can apply the inequality (see [2])

$$\text{Var} h_{\mathbf{c}}(X) \geq L_n, \quad \text{where} \quad L_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{E}^2[q^k(X)h_{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}(X)]}{k! \mathbb{E}[q^k(X)] \prod_{j=k-1}^{2k-2} (1-j\delta)},$$

in which the equality holds if and only if $h_{\mathbf{c}}$ is a polynomial of degree at most n . Observe that $\text{Var} h_{\mathbf{c}}(X) = \mathbf{c}^{\dagger} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbb{E}^2[q^k(X)|h_{\mathbf{c}}^{(k)}(X)|] = \mathbf{c}^{\dagger} \mathbf{B}_k \mathbf{c}$ with \mathbf{B}_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) as in (4). Thus, $L_n = \mathbf{c}^{\dagger} \mathbf{L}_n \mathbf{c}$ and the preceding inequality takes the form

$$\mathbf{c}^{\dagger} [\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{L}_n] \mathbf{c} \geq 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is arbitrary it follows that the matrix $\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{L}_n$ is nonnegative definite. Clearly the inequality is strict for all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for which $h_{\mathbf{c}} \notin \text{span}[1, x, \dots, x^n]$. \square

2.2 Discrete Case

In this subsection we shall make use of the following notations.

Assume that $X \sim \text{CO}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$. It is known (see [1], [2]) that, under (2), the support $J = J(X) = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : p(k) > 0\}$ is a (finite or infinite) interval of integers, say $J(X) = \{\alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \omega - 1, \omega\}$. Write $q(x) = \delta x^2 + \beta x + \gamma$ for the quadratic of X and let $q^{[k]}(x) = q(x)q(x+1) \cdots q(x+k-1)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$ (with $q^{[0]}(x) \equiv 1$, $q^{[1]}(x) \equiv q(x)$). For any function $g : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we shall denote by $\Delta^k[g(x)]$ its k -th forward difference, i.e., $\Delta^k[g(x)] = \Delta[\Delta^{k-1}[g(x)]]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, with $\Delta[g(x)] = g(x+1) - g(x)$ and $\Delta^0[g(x)] \equiv g(x)$.

For a fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{H}_d^n(X)$ the class of functions $g : J(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following property:

HD₁: For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)(\Delta^k[g(X)])^2] < \infty$.

Also, for a fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{B}_d^n(X)$ the class of functions $g : J(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:

BD₁: $\text{Var}[g(X)] < \infty$.

BD₂: For each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{E}[q^k(X)|\Delta^k[g(X)]|] < \infty$.

Clearly, if $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2n} < \infty$ then $\mathcal{H}_d^n(X) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_d^n(X)$ (note that HD₁ (with $k = 0$) yields BD₁). Indeed, since $\mathbb{P}[q^{[k]}(X) \geq 0] = 1$, the C-S inequality implies that $\mathbb{E}^2[q^{[k]}(X)|\Delta^k[g(X)]|] \leq \mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)(\Delta^k[g(X)])^2]$.

Consider now any p functions $g_1, \dots, g_p \in \mathcal{H}_d^n(X)$ and set $\mathbf{g} = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_p)^\dagger$. Then, the following $p \times p$ matrices $\mathbf{H}_k = \mathbf{H}_k(\mathbf{g})$ are well-defined for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$\mathbf{H}_k = (h_{ij;k}), \quad \text{where } h_{ij;k} := \mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)\Delta^k[g_i(X)]\Delta^k[g_j(X)]], \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (5)$$

Similarly, for any functions $g_1, \dots, g_p \in \mathcal{B}_d^n(X)$, the following $p \times p$ matrices $\mathbf{B}_k = \mathbf{B}_k(\mathbf{g})$ are well-defined for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$:

$$\mathbf{B}_k = (b_{ij;k}), \quad \text{where} \\ b_{ij;k} := \mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)\Delta^k[g_i(X)]] \cdot \mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)\Delta^k[g_j(X)]], \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (6)$$

The matrix variance inequalities for the discrete case are summarized in the following theorem; its proof, being the same as in the continuous case, is omitted.

Theorem 3. Let $X \sim \text{CO}(\mu; \delta, \beta, \gamma)$ and assume that $\mathbb{E}|X|^{2n} < \infty$ for some fixed integer $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$.

(a) Let g_1, \dots, g_p be arbitrary functions in $\mathcal{H}_d^n(X)$ and denote by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{g})$ the dispersion matrix of the random vector $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(X) = (g_1(X), \dots, g_p(X))^\dagger$. Also, denote by $\mathbf{S}_n = \mathbf{S}_n(\mathbf{g})$ the $p \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{k! \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - j\delta)} \cdot \mathbf{H}_k,$$

where the matrices \mathbf{H}_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are defined by (5). Then, the matrix

$$\mathbf{A}_n = (-1)^n (\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{S}_n)$$

is nonnegative definite. Moreover, \mathbf{A}_n is positive definite unless there exist constants $c_1, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$, not all zero, and a polynomial $P_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, of degree at most n , such that $\mathbb{P}[c_1 g_1(X) + \dots + c_p g_p(X) = P_n(X)] = 1$.

(b) Let g_1, \dots, g_p be arbitrary functions in $\mathcal{B}_d^n(X)$ and denote by $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{g})$ the dispersion matrix of the random vector $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}(X) = (g_1(X), \dots, g_p(X))^t$. Also, denote by $\mathbf{L}_n = \mathbf{L}_n(\mathbf{g})$ the $p \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{L}_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k! \mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)] \prod_{j=k-1}^{2k-2} (1 - j\delta)} \cdot \mathbf{B}_k,$$

where the matrices \mathbf{B}_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are defined by (6). Then,

$$\mathbf{L}_n \leq \mathbf{D}$$

in the Loewner ordering. Moreover, $\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{L}_n$ is positive definite unless there exist constants $c_1, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$, not all zero, and a polynomial $P_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, of degree at most n , such that $\mathbb{P}[c_1 g_1(X) + \dots + c_p g_p(X) = P_n(X)] = 1$.

[It should be noted that the k -th term in the sum defining the matrix \mathbf{S}_n or the matrix \mathbf{L}_n , above, should be treated as the null matrix, $\mathbf{0}_{p \times p}$, whenever $\mathbb{E}[q^{[k]}(X)] = 0$.]

As an example consider the case where $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ with p.m.f. $p(k) = e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k / k!$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ($\lambda > 0$). Then $X \sim \text{CO}(\lambda; 0, 0, \lambda)$ so that $q(x) \equiv \lambda$. It follows that $\mathbf{H}_k = \lambda^k (\mathbb{E}[\Delta^k [g_i(X)] \Delta^k [g_j(X)]])_{p \times p}$ and $\mathbf{B}_k = \lambda^{2k} (\mathbb{E}[\Delta^k [g_i(X)] \cdot \mathbb{E}[\Delta^k [g_j(X)]])_{p \times p}$. Thus, for $n = 1$ and $p = 2$ Theorem 3(a) yields the matrix inequality

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{Var}[g_1] & \text{Cov}[g_1, g_2] \\ \text{Cov}[g_1, g_2] & \text{Var}[g_2] \end{pmatrix} \leq \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{E}[(\Delta[g_1])^2] & \mathbb{E}[\Delta[g_1] \Delta[g_2]] \\ \mathbb{E}[\Delta[g_1] \Delta[g_2]] & \mathbb{E}[(\Delta[g_2])^2] \end{pmatrix}.$$

References

- [1] Afendras, G., Papadatos, N. and Papathanasiou, V. (2007). The discrete Mohr and Noll Inequality with applications to variance bounds. *Sankhyā*, **69**, 162–189.
- [2] Afendras, G., Papadatos, N. and Papathanasiou, V. (2011). An extended Stein-type covariance identity for the Pearson family, with applications to lower variance bounds. *Bernoulli* (in press).
- [3] Chernoff, H. (1981). A note on an inequality involving the normal distribution. *Ann. Probab.*, **9**, 533–535.
- [4] Houdre, C. and Kagan, A. (1995). Variance inequalities for functions of Gaussian variables. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, **8**, 23–30.
- [5] Johnson, R.W. (1993). A note on variance bounds for a function of a Pearson variate. *Statist. Decisions*, **11**, 273–278.

- [6] Olkin, I. and Shepp, L. (2005). A matrix variance inequality. *J. Statist. Plann. Inference*, **130**, 351–358.
- [7] Papathanasiou, V. (1988). Variance bounds by a generalization of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **7**, 29–33.
- [8] Prakasa Rao, B.L.S. (2006). Matrix variance inequalities for multivariate distributions. *Statistical Methodology*, **3**, 416–430.
- [9] Wei, Z. and Zhang, X. (2009). Covariance matrix inequalities for functions of Beta random variables. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **79**, 873–879.